Hamilton College Library

HAMILTON NEWS

March 13, 1946

PROFESSOR A. I. PRETTYMAN RESIGNS

Hamilton College undergraduates heard with unbelieving ears that Albert I. Prettyman, Director of Athletics since 1917, had submitted his resignation to the Committee on Educational Policy to be effective on Saturday, March 2, 1946. Immediately, rumors circulated the campus as to the reason behind this sudden announcement, and with the intention of devising some means to learn the facts of Coach Prettyman's resignation, a mass meeting of the student body was held in the chapel Tuesday evening, March 5th.

Those assembled heard several reports from undergraduates on the relationship between the students, the village of Clinton and Mr. Prettyman. In answer to several queries as what was behind the resignation, the students were told only an insignificant generalization, a difference in athletic policy. Only a few other meager details are known to the students and the Hamiltonews. Coach Prettyman submitted his resignation to the administration about three weeks ago and asked that it not become effective until the Clinton Hockey Club had concluded its season. The local hockey team completed its schedule Saturday, March 2nd. The members of the Board of Trustees composing the Committee on Educational Policy, Messrs. Towner, Gentes, Couper, Lee, and W. M. Bristol, Jr., accepted Mr. Prettyman's resignation with that stipulation.

In an attempt to learn the true reasons behind Mr. Prettyman's departure, a petition was circulated among the students requesting the administration and faculty to divulge the facts. The petition, with 218 signatures, was presented to President Worcestor Saturday morning. Telegrams were also dispatched to prominent Hamilton alumni, urging them to attempt to ascertain why Mr. Prettyman was released.

Many students on the Hill are unacquainted with Coach Prettyman's accomplishments while he served in the capacity as Director of Athletics. Printed below, in part, is an article from the November 4, 1942 issue of Hamiltonews. It was written by Robert D. Stone, '44, in commemoration of Coach Prettyman's twenty-fifth anniversary on the Hill.

"....It was at the time of his coming to the Hill, October, 1917, that the Hamilton athletic and physical education setup started out on the long upward climb that has brought it in 1942 (Ed. note: and today) to the place of extreme importance that it holds in Hamilton life...."

"....He had always had a keen interest in hockey, and one of his first projects was the erection of an outdoor hockey rink in the open space between the chemistry building and Root Hall. That first rink was small, and the ice was inclined to be rough, but out of it grew the dream of a real indoor stadium and a hockey team that would rank among the best collegiate teams in the country...."

"....The achievements of this energetic young man with the flair for running things can be measured today both in terms of the material wealth of the college, and in terms of the records of many Buff and Blue teams. Both the Sage Hockey Building and the Alumni Memorial Gymnasium stand out today as tributes to the energy and ambition of the Hill's first Director of Athletics. He decided before he had been on the Hill many months that the college needed an indoor rink, and in 1921, the Sage Building was dedicated, the second largest indoor college rink in the country... At the time the Sage Building was planned, blueprints were also drawn up for a new gymnasium. It was nearly twenty years before the money could be raised to translate those blueprints into reality, but today, the Alumni Memorial Gymnasium pays tribute to the man who wanted a new gym more than anyone else, as well as the men who helped make his wish come true."

"....Looking back at a glance, as we have done, over twenty-five years of Hamilton athletics, cannot possibly give the picture that a detailed study would of our Department of Physical Education and of the man who built it. But that quick glance

does one thing. It picks out Albert I. Prettyman as one of the key m cinn the last quarter century of Hamilton history...."

INTRAFRATERNITY COUNCIL SETS RULES

The Interfraternity Council held its first meeting since the war on Saturday, March 10, 1946 at the ELS house. It elected Bob Paddock '42, Sigma Phi, President, and Fred Smith '44, Delta Kappa Epsilon, Secretary. Other members of the Council are Scott Brewer '44, Alpha Delta Phi; Jim Kieffer '43, Psi Upsilon; John Cory '43, Chi Psi; Bob Licking '45, Delta Upsilon; Ken Westlye '46, Theta Delta Chi; Herb Ormsbee '43, Emerson Literary Society; John Connerton '44, Lambda Chi Alpha; and Ed Lattin '43, Tau Kappa Epsilon.

The following rushing rules for the guidance of undergraduates were adopted by the Council. The rules are to be in effect for the rest of the semester.

1. Pledging to fraternities not permitted off the Hill.
2. Pledging to fraternities not permitted prior to the 60-day period which begins April 4th.
3. No bids or offers to be given to prospective pledges before April 4th.
4. Pledging will be conducted in accordance with the 56% quota system for a period of 60 days beginning April 4th.
5. No undergraduate, unless he is an active member of a fraternity or a pledge of a fraternity, shall enter any fraternity house during the 60-day period.
6. By gentlemen's agreement, no criticisms shall be made of other fraternities or its members.

The Council is still considering the question of opening the fraternity houses some time after the June Commencement to its members.

IRC HOLDS FIRST FORMAL MEETING

Last Thursday the International Relations Club got back on its feet with the return of many former members. The new officers, Bob Pigott, president; Jack Ellery, vice-president; and Norm Hoberman, secretary, presided over the meeting.

The impending loan to Britain, now being debated in Congress, was the topic of discussion. Jon Kaufman presented a short summary of the terms of the loan and his talk was followed by a criticism of those same terms. It was generally agreed that the terms of the loan were fair since the United States is taking a great risk in lending Britain this 3.75 billion dollars and in exchange is receiving equal rights with Britain in the Sterling area. Britain mush have a loan for the rebuilding of her industry; the United States must have free access to the markets and raw materials of the world to maintain world prosperity. Thus, this loan aims at far more than mere aid to Britain -- it aims at a stable world economy.

At our next meeting, Mr. Churchill's recent speech will be discussed. Any students interested in the existing world conditions are cordially invited to attend.

→ N. L. Hoberman.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR: (The letters which we pring do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Hamiltonews. They are solely the ideas of the writers and anyone else who wishes to agree with them.)

Letter to the Editor:

It is not enough that we have Protestantism forced upon us in compulsory chapel. It is necessary also that we have Catholicism forced upon us one day a week in the dining hall, and now, during Lenten season, two days a week.

Society may be divided into two classes: Catholics and Non-Catholics. There are some of both species at Hamilton. There are also fish-lovers and fish-haters at Hamilton. But when we all gather in the dining hall of Hamilton, "not a denominational college" (I quote from the College catalog) we all become Catholics, at least in diet. I am neither a Catholic, nor a fish-lover. Good fish is fine once in a while, but bad fish twice on Wednesday and twice on Friday is something else.

Commons is a public eating place. If I had wanted to eat a Catholic diet, I

would have gone to a Catholic college. A signed petition would undoubtedly show that more than a hundred others feel as I do on that point. There is no reason on earth why the dining halls of a non-denominational college should conform to the religious observances of one particular denomination -- a minority group. Let us not conduct our dining halls like what we eat on Wednesdays and Fridays: poor fish.

Robert G. Johnson.

Letter to the Editor:

Common sense tells me that I am sticking my neck out in writing this article, that I should play it smart and not sign my name to this idea. But it has always been my policy to write or say exactly what I believe and to stand clearly behind these beliefs. So here goes!!

Every student here at college must admit to himself that when it comes to eating, the college is doing a fine job in providing us with quantities of good wholesome food. Oh, yes, I know that some few chronic gripers will laugh at this assertion because for ages, man's most popular gripe has been his food, but everyone knows, deep in his heart, that we do eat very well here at Hamilton.

I think that every student must also be aware of the present acute food shortage in all other parts of the world. Many of us have just returned from all corners of the globe and have first-hand knowledge of this deplorable fact. Every national or international figure of any note has preached for weeks the acuteness of this shortage. President Truman, in a recent speech before the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America, voiced this appeal:

"As your President, I appeal to you again -- and to all Americans everywhere -- to prove your faith and your belief in the teachings of God by doing your share to save the starving millions in Europe and Africa and Asia. Share your food by eating less, and prevent millions from dying of starvation. Reduce your abundance so that others may have a crust of bread. In short, prove yourselves worthy of the liberty and dignity which you have preserved on this earth, by helping those less fortunate who have been starved by the dictators for so many long years and who still starve even in liberation."

At the present time, the food conservation plan is strictly voluntary, an appeal to the decency of the American people that we limit ourselves just a little. If this plan fails, the government will be forced to step in with controls, but it cannot act in time to prevent a heavy loss of life. Hence, the problem falls on the individual to act, and we, here on the Hill, are included among those who must act. So I call upon the Student Council to submit to the student body for discussion and vote the following resolution:

"We at Hamilton, recognizing the fact that, as American citizens, we are among the world's most fortunate inhabitants, do volunteer to try to help in what small measure we may the less fortunate people of this world, and we resolve to express this desire <u>not</u> in words which are cheap and useless, but in action which speak a truer language. With this view in mind, we request that the kitchen staff set aside three meals a week, that is, three meals out of twenty-one, at which no bread is to be served, and that the fruit dessert of one meal be withheld and contributed to the committee collecting canned goods for relief purposes. Further, that the money customarily used in the purchase of this bread, having been paid by the student body, be set aside as a contribution to the various relief agencies which appeal to the college."

There is my proposal. I know that many will be disposed to laugh or sneer at it, saying it is a useless bother. But I feel it is our duty to take heed of this need and to give a little. This minor sacrifice on our part would save 50 to 60 loaves of bread per week and give about 40 pounds of much-needed canned goods to a starving world.

Give this matter your careful consideration. Talk it over among yourselves. Decide that you are for it, and then tell the Student Council to get on it. Don't wait for John, Jack or Don to start things. If you even pretend to be a Christian, and most of us hope we are, you know it is your duty to "Do unto others as you would have them do unto you." And I know that if you have ever been hungry, you would have

others lend you a helping hand. The reaction to this proposal will, I think, prove whether we are mature, thinking adults, or young, irresponsible children.

Jim Gow

P.S.: Mr. Lewis of the Commissary Department has assured me of his ful co-operation, stressing only that the authority for this action must come from us, the students. The way is clear. See the Student Council and tell them your wishes. Don't fail and don't wait! J.G.

P R O F I L E

Mrs. Mildred Boone, Hostess.

With the close of the basketball season, Hamiltonians longingly await the opening of the spring sports program. Perhaps Coach Weber is looking rather sad at the prospect of the lonely days ahead; but he is still not the only coach at Hamilton, for hardy athletes are being discovered daily by Mrs. Mildred Boone as she coaches her track team (to the kitchen and back).

Mrs. Boone has been at Hamilton for nearly thirty years. During many of these years, she worked for her fine friend, President Ferry, whom she recalls as a great man. She recognizes in our new president the qualities of a Hamilton man and predicts a new epoch for our college.

Three years ago, Mrs. Boone took the position of Hostess in Commons and directed the war-time cafeteria service. Today, one of her greatest joys comes in seeing the dining room full of happy, peaceful boys.

Mrs. Boone maintains her home close to the bottom of the hill. During her spare time, she has been taking an active part in the affairs of Clinton. With confidence in President Truman, she is looking forward to continued financial prosperity.

The Hostess, upon mention of Mr. Prettyman's resignation, sadly shook her head and said, "Even though sports play a minor part in my life, the absence of Mr. Prettyman would indeed be to me a great and awful thing."

Mrs. Boone sees no chance of any intercollegiate contests for the "Boonmen", but spirited intramural finals are now being held for the championship.

A HAMILTONIAN'S TRAVELS

One weekend recently I had the opportunity to visit another institution of higher learning not far from here, namely, Tuscaroga University, in nearby Canondorora.

Upon arriving in Canondorora, I was met at the bus stop by a friend of mine, a student at Tuscaroga, who was to show me around. He greeted me by singing his alma mater, "Way below Canondorora's muddy streets", and then led me to the university bus which was to take us down to the campus. As we settled back into the deep, cushioned, reclining seats, complete with ash trays and individual reading lights, my friend explained to me that Tuscaroga was located in a deep gully, the site having been picked by the founder in 1811 (making it only one year older than Hamilton).

After a decent interval (as it says in "Our Tuscaroga") the bus deposited us at the Gully, and we proceeded to stroll around the campus. As we walked along, my friend went on to say that the trustees of Tuscaroga had some queer ideas about education, which made it perhaps the most unusual university in the country. The watchword at Tuscaroga is Variety, and the entire curriculum is planned so that no person in the Gully will become bored from having to do one thing for too long a time.

A course may be changed overnight if a student becomes tired of it after the first lecture. There is a wide variety of courses at Tuscaroga, varying from instruction in practical destruction and disintegration of college dorms to such scientific aids as how many feet it takes to wear down a new path cutting hexagonally across the campus.

At the present time all of Tuscaroga is in an uproar over the question of compulsory squat-tag. It seems that years ago a certain professor, himself a great lover of the game of squat-tag, bequeathed some money to the university on condition that henceforth everyone in the university must engage in the game at least once a week, and preferably three or four times. (continued on page7).

HIGHLIGHTS of HAMILTON

COME, SEE THE SWEATY BODS TUSSLE IN INTRA-MURAL BASKETBALL

WITH THE BASEBALL SEASON JUST AROUND THE CORNER WE HAVE MANY FINE PROSPECTS

WILLY (KETCHUM-PLENTY) CREW



The Handwriting Corner —
By Bea Carman

Being a discussion of Handwriting Analysis

and its uses.

HANDWRITING ANALYSIS

Handwriting analysis has progressed from the realm of fortune-telling to a psychological science. Today, many modern European universities teach handwriting analysis because they recognize graphology as a subject of definite value in experimental psychology.

As a subject of study, graphology is about four hundred years old, having originated in France and Italy. Camillo Baldo was one of the first writers on the subject. This Italian scholar wrote a little book with a long title which, translated, goes something like this: "Treating of How a Written Message May Reveal the Nature and Qualities (or Talents) of the Writer." Historians tend to agree that Baldo wrote the first book on handwriting analysis; beyond this fact, little is known. The year was 1622.

Abbé Flandrin picked up a copy of this book in later years. Since the abbé was in charge of a Catholic school of higher learning, the book fascinated him because of its consistencies and inconsistencies. Flandrin set about experimenting, to see how much was "workable" and "provable". For many years he collected handwriting specimens, made analyses, and compared his analyses with his own opinions of character traits of the writers of each specimen. In turn, he wrote a book on the subject, basing the book upon Baldo's earlier work AND his own observations and conclusions.

Graphology remained dogmatic and empirical until eighty years ago, when a group of European psychologists and psychiatrists, working independently of each other, began to test these earlier observations and conclusions from a psychological standpoint. In other words, prominent psychologists began seriously to investigate the merits of handwriting analysis.

Hans Busse and Ludwig Klages did the most to establish handwriting analysis as a field of experimental psychology. Their findings and conclusions became the foundation of a standard course taught in German universities and higher institutions of learning. In France, J. Crépieux-Jamin did much the same. Today in France (at least, before Vichy France), the French Government maintained a school in which it trained handwriting analysts for governmental, police, and military work. In England, Rosa Baughan and Robert Saudek did the groundwork to establish graphology as a field of experimental psychology. In this connection, it may be of interest to note that Robert Saudek taught at the University of Virginia, in the psychology department. All these persons are considered authorities in this field.

Observations made from a specimen of handwriting are almost amazingly similar to fortune-telling, palmistry, or phrenology. For this reason, graphology is considered by most to be in the realm of fortune-telling and guesswork. Yet handwriting IS analyzed -- amazingly accurately. Today's analysis of handwriting is a far cry from the guesswork of the past.

The basis of scientific analysis of handwriting rests upon the close interrelationship between the brain and the muscles. Since the brain governs the muscular movements, handwriting LITERALLY is an expression of the brain of any writer.

The governments of France, Italy, Germany, Great Britain and the United States have employed handwriting analysts for varying periods of time -- especially during World Wars I and II -- in examining questioned documents, in detecting forgeries; in police work, in the study of suspects and their personalities; in governmental work, in studying applicants for governmental positions involving responsibility and trust, and furnishing supplemental evidence in trials and courts-martials.

NEXT WEEK: A brief discussion of some of the basic principles of graphology.

As it stands now, a compulsory game is scheduled for every Friday night and optional games are scheduled for Monday and Wednesday nights. Almost everyone takes part, for fear that they, too, might be made compulsory. There are as many people in the university against this institution as are for it, and a committee has been appointed to study the problem.

When suppertime approached, my friend invited me to sample a typical Tuscaroga meal at the Uncommons. Upon entering the hall, we went through the mashing and squashing machine (an old Tuscaroga tradition, guaranteed to make you work up an appetite). We then sat down to a delicious meal of fried corrugated cardboard on toast (no variety in this, they have it 5 nights out of 4). This repast was served to us by waiters who make for quick service, certainly did not lower the accident and death rate (which sometimes reached tremendous proportions).

-- Anonymous.

OF A SOPHISTICATED LADY

The softly tinkling music of cocktail glasses on the bar;
The cold sardonic laughter of the cynical arrogant multitudes;
The icy aristocratic grace of her smile from afar;
The lacy aesthetic fragility of an eighteenth century chair:
Do not prattle to me of country virtue,
Or of rustic docile simplicity;
For a moment of her casual rehearsed indifference
Is far more precious than an eon of peasant sweetness.
Sing not to me of country-bred sincerity;
For sincerity becomes hypocrisy in the whirling gyroscopic motion of time and space.
But a glimpse of her polished sophistication drowns out time and space
With a single graceful movement of her hand.
Sophistication, oh bitter-sweet sophistication;
When I have seen you zealously garnishing the fresh young twigs with gilt of barren silver,
And when I have seen you defacing the polished oak with the grotesque arabesques of affectation;
I marvel at your power over me.
But when, for but a moment, she touches me with fingertips of matchless grace,
I almost hope that I might walk with her
Down wind-swept streets and tread with nimble feet
Along that diamond-studded carpet that lovers call the sky.

-- S. Corbett

EXCURSION:

An absent-minded Hamilton buck
Made some cuties fume....
By following a lady in slacks
Into a powder room!

-- anonymous.

Letter To The Editor:

I am writing this out of deep shame; shame that the long and distinguished heritage of Hamilton is being blemished. I am writing this, furthermore, as a student with very strong feelings regarding Hamilton, and the ideals which it embodies. I realize, as a student, I am neither an authoritative source of information nor am I making an exposé of heretofore suppressed information, but rather I am writing to awaken a dangerous complacency toward very evident existing conditions at Hamilton College. I would not be launching into this criticism unless Hamilton meant something to me, and whatever criticism I make is made in complete sincerity, with no other motives than the welfare of the college. I do not believe that there is anyone

at Hamilton or interested in Hamilton who has not observed the critical situation which is developing, unless he is utterly blind and indifferent. The liberalism which has been, and I hope will continue to be the cornerstone of Hamilton, is being undermined by an apparently strong reactionary element.

This small coterie has clearly displayed itself as a powerful reaction against progressive leadership, involving reforms and direct initiative from a central authority free from the influences and petty prejudices of small and yet very effective "pressure groups." This reactionary group or groups evidently fear usurpation of their positions of power by a centralized authority. But what they fail to realize is that without direct and resolute action to cut "red tape" progress is virtually impossible. They have seen fit to replace this with a chaotic factional system of direction, resulting in a maze of cross-currents, factional disputes, and selfish interests. Understand me, this group is without doubt as loyal to Hamilton as the next person, but I fear they are, unknowingly, injuring the college. It is evident that a strong president is a threat to their position of authority. They fear a president who is not obsequious and dupable, and coaches who attempt to utilize their authority for progressive aims. Two things particularly startle me; how such a small cliqué could seize such power, and why such a manifest situation could exist for so long without some reaction on the part of the alumni.

I write this with a fervent appeal that all those students, who see the danger and are aroused by it, will communicate their indignation to their friends of the alumni. As students, we are completely helpless in such a situation, but in the alumni lies our only hope, the hope for a truly liberal Hamilton.

Very respectfully yours,
George C. Harlan.

BOQUETS TO THE ADMINISTRATION

With the power to criticize, comes the duty to bestow praise, so here are two boquets to the college administration. The first boquet goes to the staff at Commons and the Student Council for that clever barrier which allows us to wait inside the building but which keeps us out of the waiters' way. The second boquet goes to the maintenance staff and especially to President Worcester for the valiant and prompt battle declared against the hordes of flies which were carrying off the occupants of North Dorm. Though at this writing the battle is not yet won, it is encouraging to see the administration return bravely to the attack. Such cooperation should not go unappreciated by the student body. A third boquet is poised, waiting the reappearance of those elusive cups.

X MARKS THE SPORT

-- by Bob Pigott.

Last week saw the curtain ring down on the 1945-46 Hamilton basketball season. After dropping their first eight starts, the boys suddenly found themselves and won their final two games.

As a rule I shy away from long-range predictions, but I can't restrain myself from optimistically thinking of the fine nucleus Coach Weber has for next year's cage squad. Virtually the entire team will be returning.

Reproduced below are the final point totals for the ten collegiate contests played. Jack Connolly led the team offensively, averaging 12-13 points a game.

	G	F	Pts
Connolly	53	20	126
Brewer	26	17	69
Hilfinger	25	14	64
Powers	24	8	56
Kuolt	16	10	42
Thompson	5	5	15
Moore	4	3	11

(continued, page 9)

	G	F	Pts
Howard	5	1	11
Kent	4	1	9
Carbrey	2	2	6
Stearns	1	0	2
Andrews	1	0	2
Fry	0	1	1
	166	82	414

Undismayed by the return of snow, battery practice continues to take place in the gym on Tuesdays and Thursdays. Last Thursday saw a goodly number of candidates turn out -- nearly twenty pitchers and a half-dozen catchers. Those batterymen will continue to work until Spring Recess, after which they will be joined by all infield and outfield aspirants.

Signs of the Times: The old-time pitcher who used to win both games of a double-header now has a son who draws twice his dad's salary for turning in one relief job a week.

During the past week the gym has been the scene of some wild and woolly intramural basketball. Here are the results of the games played so far:

March 5: North Team #1 - 23; South Team #2 - 12.
South Team #1 - 29; North Team #2 - 10.

March 6: ELS - 37; Carnegie Team #1 - 23.
Carnegie Team #2 - 32; South Team #3 - 18.

March 8: South Team #3 - 16; Carnegie Team #1 - 15.
ELS - 26; South Team #2 - 16.

The experienced Emerson quintet is the class of the loop at this point, having easily taken their first two starts. The team standings are as follows:

	Won	Lost
Emerson	2	0
Carnegie #2	1	0
North #1	1	0
South #1	1	0
South #3	1	1
North #2	0	1
Carnegie #1	0	2
South #2	0	2

HAMILTONEWS STAFF

Editor - Ralph Shulansky

Associate Editors - Bob Pigott - Brud Tarsches

Editorial Staff - Jack Ellery, Bob Johnson, Sandy Lankler, Jim Pratt, Bob Hale, Ed Everett, Steve Feldman, Fred Kuhner, Jim Gow.

Business Staff - Manager, Fred Kellogg;
Staff - Jon Kaufman, Jack Bartlett, Norman Hoberman, Charles Doran, George Barnum.

Cartoonists - Bob Curran, Al McAneny Typist - Bob Backman

The following letter was read to the undergraduate body last night. It is, we believe, self-explanatory.

March 12, 1946.

Mr. Robert B. Paddock
21 Emerson Hall
Clinton, New York

Dear Mr. Paddock:

I acknowledge with thanks your letter of March 9 and the enclosed student petition calling for an explanation of the circumstances surrounding Mr. Prettyman's resignation.

This reply is addressed to you with the expectation that it will be transmitted to the fellow members of your committee and through them to the other signers of the petition.

Mr. Prettyman's resignation was entirely voluntary. There had been no move by the trustees, administration, faculty, or any other group, to force him out, or to "high pressure" him, or to suggest his resignation in any way. His action was unexpected and it came as a total surprise to everyone.

The reasons which led Mr. Prettyman to take this step were personal to him. I should be guilty of violating his rights in an impertinent and unjustifiable manner if I were to try to speak for him or put words in his mouth in this important matter. His reasons, although they have been subject to discussion by the trustees, remain his own affair by right. I can only say that the trustees were satisfied that they were strong and compelling reasons and that he was acting in good faith, as he has always done.

The point has been made by critics that undue speed was shown in accepting his resignation. I do not believe this charge to be just. Such speed as was shown was necessitated by the terms of Mr. Prettyman's resignation. There was, none the less, an ample period for consultation among trustees and others, during which lengthy explorations took place by letter and telephone. The trustees' committee legally charged with responsibility over such matters then met on the Hill for two days and satisfied itself fully that none of its duties or necessary functions was left undone.

If I may, I should like to express my sincere appreciation for the way in which you and the other members of your committee have handled this unhappy event. I consider that your actions have been temperate and well advised, and that you have shown throughout a sense of responsibility and a regard for the welfare of the College which is in the best tradition of Hamilton.

Mr. Prettyman leaves the College he has served so well with the respect and affection of his colleagues and students, present and past. The actions of your committee are a notable tribute to his rare powers, and I should like to suggest to you that some expression of your loyalty and regard should be conveyed to him.

Faithfully yours,

David Worcester.

THE CALLS OF THE WILD

CLASS CALL



MAIL CALL



CHOW-CALL

Curran x



CRANE DAIRY CO.

CLINTON, N. Y. PHONE 112M

W. J. WALSH

FUNERAL HOMES

AMBULANCE SERVICE

CHAS. C. KELLOGG & SONS CO.

KELLOGG LUMBER

CHARLES C. KELLOGG & SONS

Popular Hits Classical Selections

on your favorite records

SCHWENDERS

201 Columbia St. Utica, N. Y.

Under new management:

COLLEGE INN

WARREN WOODWARD, *Prop.*

FOUNTAIN SERVICE and SNACK BAR

ICE CREAM
HOT DOGS
HAMBERGERS
To Take Out

SMOKERS SUPPLIES NOVELTIES

Everything for the College Student

17 College St. Clinton

REMEMBER HER WITH
A DISTINCTIVE PORTRAIT

FINE ARTS STUDIO

Room 7, Maher Bldg. Opp. Hotel Utica

Phone 4-2550

Clinton Sweet Shop

RESTAURANT SODA FOUNTAIN
CANDY

Phone 520

PHOTO SUPPLIES

and

QUALITY PHOTO FINISHING

RYDER'S

Stanley Theatre Building

Utica, N. Y.

Owens Funeral Service

We offer a friendly, conscientious service

AMBULANCE CLINTON

PRINTING

PUBLICATIONS

BUSINESS and SOCIAL STATIONERY

THE CLINTON COURIER

Phone 122 Clinton, N. Y.

Hayes National Bank

CLINTON, NEW YORK

Member F. D. I. C.

KEMPF BROS.

248-250 Genesee St. Est 1880

FRANKIE'S

Restaurant & Bar

36-38 College St. Clinton, N. Y.

Take your friends to Frankie's where friendly service is a rule.

FOR A FINE SHAVE AND HAIRCUT
Go To----

George's Sanitary Barber Shop

NO WAITING

WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATRONAGE

Williams Oil Co., Inc.

Jobbers of

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

Remsen New York

Radios Refrigerators Washers

Bitteker's Electric Co.

SALES — SERVICE

Complete Service on household equipment

20 College St. Clinton, N. Y

Osborne & Osborne

Printing of Quality

70 College St. Clinton Phone 294

Botnick Motor Corp.

CHEVROLET

Sales and Service

Binghamton, New York

MODERN TAILOR

Daily Service

Use Yellow Card